To Be(e) Or Not To Be(e): Spatio-temporal dynamics of bumblebees foraging under predation risk

Friedrich Lenz[1] Thomas C. Ings[2] Lars Chittka[2]
Aleksei V. Chechkin[3] Rainer Klages[1]

[1]Queen Mary University of London, School of Mathematical Sciences

[2]Queen Mary University of London, Biological and Chemical Sciences

[3]Institute for Theoretical Physics NSC KIPT, Kharkov, Ukraine

Ruedi's Birthday Meeting, CRP Lavin, 28 August 2012

Queen Mary University of London

From simple maps... to something else...

from Ruedi's early phase...



...to Ruedi's later phase...

Albatrosses and the Lévy flight paradigm

famous paper by Viswanathan et al., Nature **381**, 413 (1996):

for albatrosses foraging in the South Atlantic the flight times were recorded

the distribution of flight times was fitted with a Lévy flight model (power law)



Lévy **paradigm**: Look for *power law tails* in pdf's!

Albatross Lévy flights revisited

Edwards et al., Nature **449**, 1044 (2007):

- Viswanathan et al. results revisited by correcting old data:



- Lévy flight behavior clearly (?) ruled out: On the basis of new, more precise data some other (gamma distributed) stochastic process revealed

see Buchanan, Nature **453**, 714, 2008 for the whole story

Motivation: bumblebees

bumblebee foraging – two very practical problems:

1. find food (nectar, pollen) in complex landscapes

2. try to avoid **predators**

What type of motion?

Study bumblebee foraging in a *laboratory experiment*.

The bumblebee experiment

Ings, Chittka, Current Biology **18**, 1520 (2008):
bumblebee foraging in a cube of $\simeq$ 75cm side length

- artificial yellow flowers: 4x4 grid on one wall
- two cameras track the position (50fps) of a single bumblebee *(Bombus terrestris)*



- **advantages:** systematic variation of the environment; easier than tracking bumblebees on large scales
- **disadvantage:** no true ‘free flight’ of bumblebees

Variation of the environmental conditions



safe and **dangerous** flowers

movie

three experimental stages:

1. spider-free foraging
2. foraging under predation risk
3. memory test 1 day later

#bumblebees=30 , #data per bumblebee for each stage $\approx$ 7000

Bumblebee experiment: two main questions

1. What type of motion do the bumblebees perform in terms of stochastic dynamics?



2. Are there changes of the dynamics under variation of the environmental conditions?

Velocity distributions: analysis





left: experimental **pdf of v_y-velocities** of a single bumblebee in the spider-free stage (black crosses) with max. likelihood fits of mixture of 2 Gaussians; exponential; power law; single Gaussian

right: **quantile-quantile plot** of a Gaussian mixture against the experimental data (black) plus surrogate data

Velocity distributions: interpretation

- **best fit** to the data by a mixture of two Gaussians with different variances (quantified by information criteria with resp. weights)
- **biological explanation:** models spatially different flight modes near the flower vs. far away, cf. intermittent dynamics

big surprise: no difference in pdf's between different stages under variation of environmental conditions!

Velocity autocorrelation function $\parallel$ to the wall

$V_y^{AC}(\tau) = \frac{\langle (v_y(t)-\mu)(v_y(t+\tau)-\mu)\rangle}{\sigma^2}$ with average over all bees:



- plot: spider-free stage, predation thread, memory test
- **correlations change** from positive (spider-free) to negative (spiders)

$\Rightarrow$ all **changes** are in the **velocity correlations**, *not* in pdf's!

Predator avoidance and a simple model

predator avoidance as difference in position pdfs spider / no spider from data:



positive spike: *hovering*;
negative region: *avoidance*

modeled by Langevin equation

$$\frac{dv_y}{dt}(t) = -\eta v_y(t) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(y(t)) + \xi(t)$$

η: friction coefficient,
ξ: Gaussian white noise



simulated velocity correlations with repulsive interaction potential U
bumblebee - spider off / on

Summary: Clever bumblebees!

- mixture of **two Gaussian velocity distributions** reflects spatial adjustment of bumblebee dynamics to flower carpet
- all changes to predation thread are contained in the **velocity autocorrelation functions**, which exhibit highly non-trivial temporal behaviour (cf. Lévy paradigm?)
- change of correlation decay in the presence of spiders due to **experimentally extracted repulsive force** as reproduced by generalized Langevin dynamics

  (**nb:** we also have a nice stochastic model for the 'free' flights of a bumblebee based on further data analysis)

Reference

F.Lenz, T.Ings, A.V.Chechkin, L.Chittka, R.K.,
Spatio-temporal dynamics of bumblebees foraging under predation risk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 098103 (2012)

HAPPY BIRTHDAY RUEDI!!!